

Next Gen Geo Prospect Generator Contest Scoring Rubric

Date:

Team Members:

Brief Description: *Commodity, Location, past work highlights*

Criteria	Description	Low ----- High				
Data	<p>How much historical data has been found for the proposed project? Considerations include: <i>Drilling (# of holes, total length)</i> <i>Location of historic core?</i> <i>Geophysics?</i> <i>Soil Sampling?</i> <i>Surface work (stripping/trenching)</i> <i>Quality of assessment files (level of detail, completeness, scan quality, georeferencing suitability)</i> <i>Has the team explored alternative sources of data e.g. calling regional geologists to get data not available online, calling companies that have previously worked in the area, etc.</i></p>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
Notes/Feedback on Data						

<p>Geology / Mineralization</p>	<p>How prospective is the proposed project from a geological perspective? Considerations include: <i>Best grade/interval?</i> <i>Repeatability of high grades?</i> <i>Identified geologic/structural controls?</i> <i>Size: strike length, depth, width</i> <i>Any historic resource figures?</i> <i>Strike relationships to other deposits?</i> <i>Is there a lack of data but a good reason to think the ground could be prospective?</i></p>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
---------------------------------	---	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

Notes/Feedback on Geology

<p>Location & Access</p>	<p>Is the location of the project amenable to cheap exploration and mine development? Considerations include: <i>Is the proposed location open for staking / has it already been staked by the team?</i> <i>If it is open, does the team understand the process for staking a claim in the relevant jurisdiction?</i> <i>Infrastructure (road/trail/boat/air etc, water rights, electricity)</i> <i>Deposit access (Surface exposure? Blind deposit? Lies beneath swamp?)</i></p>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
------------------------------	--	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

Notes/Feedback on Location

Social & Environmental	<p>Does the group demonstrate an understanding of the social and environmental issues that could impact exploration work? Considerations include: <i>Staking restrictions</i> <i>Native consultation</i> <i>Local communities</i> <i>Environmental permits/liabilities</i></p>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
------------------------	---	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

Notes/Feedback on Social & Environmental

Presentation Quality	<p>How well did the group present their idea? Considerations include: <i>Fluent and knowledgeable, clearly articulate ideas, appropriate use of vocabulary at a general level, engaging, body language, organized, easy to follow, good use of visuals, enthusiastic & convincing</i></p>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
----------------------	---	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

Notes/Feedback on Presentation Quality